

I find it appalling
that Sinclair
Broadcasting is
trying to force
their stations to
show an anti-Kerry
documentary just
days before the
national election.
This is a blatant
example of the
danger of too few
individuals
controlling too much
of the public media
consolidation.

Sinclair is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest, but
pushing a political
agenda on public
airwaves to a
diverse regions
gives viewers the
false impression
that members of
their own community
sponsored the
program. It is a
not-so-subtle way of
a few powerful
individuals abusing
the airwaves by
using them to
propagandize. This
oppressive central
control of Sinclair
owned stations
diminishes the
process of democracy
and is akin to a
state-controlled
media like that in
Russia.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.